

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/892,092	07/14/1997	TAKU YAMAGAMI	35.G1994	6547
5514	7590 04/07/2005	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			VILLECCO, JOHN M	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	****
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	08/892,092	YAMAGAMI, TAKU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	John M. Villecco	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 August 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINA L. 2b) ☑ Thi	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-65</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-65</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 1997</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 08/892,092 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 5, 2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant has amended each of the independent claims, thus necessitating the new grounds of rejection presented below. Additionally, applicant has added new claims 64 and 65. Please see the rejection of claims 64 and 65 below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 58-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

 Sakagami et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,497,194) in view of Sarbadhikari et al. (U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 08/892,092

Art Unit: 2612

5,477,264) and further in view of Saito (Japanese Publ. No. 06-231023) and Redford et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,507).

5. Regarding *claim* 58, Sakagami discloses an electronic camera of selectably recording image or voice data. More specifically, Sakagami discloses a switch (18) for selectably choosing to record image data from the image pickup device (14) or voice data from the microphone (16), and a memory card (12) for recording the generated image or voice data. The camera (11) also includes the ability to read image and voice data from the memory card.

Sakagami, however, fails to explicitly disclose retrieving instruction information prerecorded in the detachable recording medium for determining a file name. Sarbadhikari, on the
other hand, discloses that it is well known in the art to read out instruction information that is
stored in a memory card, which is used in the processing of the image files. More specifically,
Sarbadhikari discloses the use of "software enhancement" files which are uploaded to the camera
firmware in order to enhance the software located in the camera. These enhancements include
programs for processing the image data, as well as programs adjusting the operating parameters
of the camera. See column 7, line 55 to column 8, line 3 and column 8, line 50 to column 9, line

1. These files allow a camera's operation and abilities to be constantly upgraded or
automatically adjusted. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art
at the time the invention was made to retrieve instruction information pre-recorded in a
removable recording medium in order to upgrade or adjust the abilities of the camera in
Sakagami.

Additionally, neither Sakagami nor Sarbadhikari explicitly state the use of a file determining means for determining a file name by combining a character, selected in accordance

Application/Control Number: 08/892,092

Art Unit: 2612

with the generation condition selected by the selection means, and a serial number, in accordance with the information retrieved from the detachable recording medium. Saito, on the other hand, discloses an information recorder which determines a filename for a current image being recorded, based on the filenames of the previously recorded information. The system includes an image pickup circuit (2) for generating an image data and a system controller (12) for controlling the operation of the camera. The system controller (12) in interpreted to be the file name determining part. In order to avoid duplication of file names it will determine if the current image being saved has a name similar to the name of a file stored on the memory card. If there is such a file, then a number is incremented and a new file name is generated. Each of the file names is composed of a character and a serial number (Fig. 5, 7, and 8) and is composed in accordance with the information retrieved from the memory card. After the file name is determined the image file is saved onto the memory card (16). As disclosed in paragraph 0020, the JP '023 reference will compare a created filename with a filename that has already been created and recorded in a directory. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create a filename for the image or voice data so that it does not have a similar filename to data recorded previously.

Furthermore, neither of the two aforementioned references discloses that the filename determining device determines a filename based on whether image or voice data is selected. Redford et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,507) discloses that it is well known in the art to name different types of data files with different extensions. As disclosed in column 45, lines 8-12, image files are designated with the extension .TIF and sound files are designated with the extension .WAV. This feature allows a user to differentiate between image and sound files.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to name the files generated, as in Saito, so that the image and sound files are given different filenames.

- 6. Regarding *claim* 59, Saito discloses there are two bytes that are allocated to be incremented if an image with a similar filename is found in the route directory of the memory card. Therefore, the program in Saito determines the plurality of characters to be used for a file name. See paragraphs 18-20.
- 7. Claim 60 is considered a method claim corresponding to claim 58. Please see the discussion of claim 58 above.
- 8. *Claim 61* is a method claim corresponding to claim 59. Please see the discussion of claim 59 above.
- 9. With regard to *claim* 62, Saito discloses that a filename is automatically generated based on the time that the memory card was mounted. This information correlates to the recording condition of image data that has been generated. Therefore, the file name determining step determines a file name in accordance with a recording condition of the image data generated and the pre-recorded instruction information.
- 10. Claim 63 is considered a method claim corresponding to claim 62. Please see the discussion of claim 62 above.
- 11. Claim 64 is considered to be substantively equivalent to claim 58. Please see the discussion of claim 58 above.
- 12. Claim 65 is considered to be substantively equivalent to claim 58. Please see the discussion of claim 58 above.

Art Unit: 2612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (571) 272-7318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (571) 272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John M. Villecco April 1, 2005

> WENDY R. GAHBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600